Before the
Federal Communications Commission
Washington, D.C. 20554

In the Matter of	
Improving Public Safety Communications in the 800 MHz Band	
Supplemental Requests for Waiver of June 26, 2008 Rebanding Deadline	

WT Docket No. 02-55

ORDER

Adopted: December 30, 2010
Released: December 30, 2010

By the Chief, Public Safety and Homeland Security Bureau:

I. INTRODUCTION AND BACKGROUND

1. On March 31, 2010, the Public Safety and Homeland Security Bureau (Bureau) released an order (March 2010 Order) addressing requests for further extension of the June 26, 2008 deadline to complete 800 MHz rebanding.1 In that order, we:

- Granted waivers to public safety licensees with executed Frequency Reconfiguration Agreements (FRA) with Sprint seeking extensions to complete rebanding on or before October 1, 2010.
- Granted partial waivers to public safety licensees with executed FRAs seeking extensions beyond October 1, 2010, granting them extensions either to complete rebanding by that date or to submit, by that date, a detailed further request for additional time to complete rebanding.
- Directed each licensee with an executed FRA that had either had not submitted an extension request or had submitted a request with an extension date that had passed to submit, within 30 days of release of the March 2010 Order, documentation to the TA that it had completed reconfiguration, or to file by that date a supplemental waiver request with the Bureau requesting additional time for such completion in accordance with the Waiver Guidance Notice.2
- Held in abeyance requests from licensees that had submitted cost estimates but had not executed FRAs and directed such licensees either to execute an FRA within 75 days of release of the March 2010 Order or submit to the TA a report regarding the status of FRA

---


negotiations, together with a schedule detailing when it anticipated that FRA negotiations would be complete and a brief summary of the issues in dispute.

- Held in abeyance requests from licensees that had not yet submitted cost estimates, and directed such licensees either to submit a cost estimate to Sprint within 60 days of release of the March 2010 Order or to provide the Bureau with a report explaining why it could not provide a cost estimate by that date, together with a schedule detailing when it anticipated submitting a cost estimate.

In this Order, we address supplemental waiver requests that have been filed pursuant to the March 2010 Order.

2. Licensees affected by or located in the Canadian and Mexico border regions that did not receive frequency assignments from the TA prior to June 26, 2008, are not subject to the June 26, 2008 deadline and are not subject to the requirements of this Order. The Bureau has established a separate rebanding timeline for the Canadian border region and will establish a rebanding timeline for the Mexican border at a later date.

II. DISCUSSION

3. The Commission has stated that requests for waiver to extend the June 26, 2008 rebanding deadline are “subject to a high level of scrutiny.” With some licensees continuing to submit requests for further extensions of time well after the deadline, even greater scrutiny is now appropriate to ensure that “the amount of additional time requested is no more than is reasonably necessary to complete the rebanding process.” Accordingly, as discussed in greater detail below, we grant further extensions at this time only to licensees that have executed FRAs with Sprint Nextel, and we hold in abeyance requests from licensees that do not yet have executed FRAs.

A. Licensees With Executed FRAs

4. Licensees Requesting Extensions to Complete Rebanding on or Before March 31, 2011. We first address waiver requests of those non-border licensees that have executed FRAs and propose to complete their rebanding on or before March 31, 2011. After reviewing these requests, we find that each of these licensees has made substantial progress toward completing rebanding and has demonstrated a level of diligence and good faith sufficient to warrant the requested extension. We thus grant the waiver dates requested by these licensees.

5. Licensees Requesting Extensions or Proposing Milestone Dates to Complete Rebanding after March 31, 2011. With respect to those non-border licensees that have executed FRAs but have filed requests for extension to complete their rebanding projects after March 31, 2011, we grant partial waivers until March 31, 2011, to either complete rebanding or submit a further request for waiver seeking additional time. Any further request for waiver must detail the licensee’s progress to date and propose milestone dates for each major rebanding step, e.g., subscriber unit deployment, system cut-over, and

---


5 Id.

6 We list these licensees in Appendix A-1 of this Order.

7 We list these licensees in Appendix A-2 of this Order.
post-cutover modifications, that has not yet been completed. In addition, licensees with timetables that
are dependent on rebanding by adjacent or overlapping systems must identify such interdependencies.

6. **Licensees With FRAs That Have Not Requested Extensions or Have Requested Extension Dates That Have Passed.** We next address those licensees that have not yet completed physical reconfiguration of their systems and have either not filed an extension request, or have filed a request in which the requested extension date has passed. We direct each such licensee, within 30 days of release of this Order, to provide the TA with documentation showing it has completed reconfiguration or to file a supplemental waiver request with the Bureau requesting additional time for such completion in accordance with the Waiver Guidance Notice.

B. **Licensees Without Executed FRAs**

7. **Licensees That Have Submitted Cost Estimates But Have Yet to Execute a Frequency Reconfiguration Agreement (FRA).** We hold in abeyance the extension requests received from those non-border licensees that have submitted a cost estimate but have not yet executed a FRA with Sprint. We direct each non-border licensee without an FRA to either execute an FRA within 75 days of release of this order or submit to the TA a report (which the TA shall summarize and provide to the Bureau) regarding the status of FRA negotiations, together with a schedule detailing when it anticipates that FRA negotiations will be complete and a brief summary of the issues in dispute. The TA will establish and submit to the Bureau a proposed schedule for completion of FRA negotiations. After executing its FRA, each licensee covered by this section must submit to the Bureau, within 30 days of the execution of the FRA, an updated request for additional time to complete rebanding.

8. **Licensees That Have Yet to Submit a Cost Estimate.** We also hold in abeyance the extension requests received from non-border licensees that have yet to submit a cost estimate to Sprint. We direct each such licensee to either submit a cost estimate to Sprint within 60 days of release of this Order or to provide the TA with a report (which the TA shall summarize and provide to the Bureau) explaining why it cannot provide a cost estimate by this date, together with a schedule detailing when it anticipates submitting a cost estimate. Each licensee must file an additional report every 30 days thereafter until it submits its cost estimate, unless the TA deems such updates to be unnecessary. Upon submission of the cost estimate, the TA will establish and submit to the Bureau a proposed schedule for expedited FRA negotiations between the licensee and Sprint.

9. **Eligibility to Apply for Vacated Interleaved Band Channels.** As we decided in the March 2010 Order, we continue to limit eligibility to apply for vacated Interleaved Band (809-815/854-860

---

8 As defined in prior orders, subscriber unit deployment involves retuning, reflashing, and/or replacing subscriber equipment; the second milestone, system cutover, involves retuning the base stations to the licensee’s new channel assignments and beginning system operations on the new channels; and finally, post-cutover system modifications include disposing of temporary or legacy equipment and, in the proper case, removing pre-rebanding channels from subscriber units. See March 2010 Order, 25 FCC Rcd at 3248 n.8.

9 We list these licensees in Appendix A-3 of this Order.

10 We list these licensees in Appendix A-4 of this Order.

11 Licensees are directed to the TA’s website for the template form and instructions for filing the supplemental waiver requests: http://www.800ta.org/content/resources/nonborder.asp#supplementalwaiver.

12 We list these licensees in Appendix A-5 of this Order.

13 We list these licensees in Appendix A-6 of this Order.

14 Licensees who have not completed their cost estimates must submit the initial report and updated reports to the TA. The cost to the licensee of preparing and submitting these reports is presumptively not recoverable from Sprint.
MHz) channels\textsuperscript{15} to (1) public safety licensees that have fully executed FRAs at the time they apply for interleaved channels, and (2) public safety licensees that are either not required to reband or have completed the rebanding process.\textsuperscript{16}

III. CONCLUSION AND ORDERING CLAUSE

10. We note that failure to comply with the requirements of this Order, including, but not limited to, the requirement to file timely extension requests, without good cause shown, may result in further action by the Commission, including consideration of whether the licensee’s continued rebanding costs should be deemed not recoverable from Sprint.

11. Accordingly, pursuant to Section 4(i) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, 47 U.S.C. § 154(i), and Sections 0.191, 0.392, and 1.925 of the Commission’s rules, 47 C.F.R. §§ 0.191, 0.392, 1.925, IT IS ORDERED that the requests for waiver of the June 26, 2008, deadline submitted by the licensees listed in Appendix A of this order are GRANTED, GRANTED IN PART, OR HELD IN ABEYANCE, to the extent discussed herein.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

James Arden Barnett, Jr., Rear Admiral (Ret.)
Chief, Public Safety and Homeland Security Bureau

\textsuperscript{15} The 800 MHz Report and Order provided that Interleaved Band channels vacated by Sprint are to be made exclusively available for new licensing to public safety for a three-year period. 800 MHz Report and Order, 19 FCC Rcd at 15052 ¶ 152 (800 MHz Report and Order). The Bureau has previously released some of these channels and will make additional interleaved channels available in the future as rebanding in each region progresses. See, e.g., Improving Public Safety Communications in the 800 MHz Band, Relinquishment by Sprint Nextel of Channels in the Interleaved, Expansion, and Guard Bands, WT Docket No. 02-55, Order, 25 FCC Rcd 3270 (PSHSB 2010).

Appendix A

Appendix A-1 – Licensees Requesting Extensions to Complete Rebanding on or Before March 31, 2011.

Relief Granted: Extension to Complete Rebanding on or Before the Date Requested (indicated in parentheses).

Region 1 (Alabama)
Montgomery Co., AL (Feb. 15, 2011)

Region 4 (Arkansas)
Jacksonville, AR (Dec. 31, 2010)

Region 6 (Northern California)
Livermore, CA (Jan. 31, 2011)
Richmond, CA (Mar. 31, 2011)
Roseville, CA (Dec. 31, 2010)
Santa Clara, CA (Dec. 31, 2010)

Region 9 (Florida)
Apopka, FL, Phase II (Mar. 1, 2011)
Miami Beach, FL (Dec. 30, 2010)
Pasco Co., FL (Feb. 28, 2011)
Reedy Creek Improvement District, FL (Feb. 1, 2011)
Winter Park, FL (Jan. 31, 2011)

Region 11 (Hawaii)
City and County of Honolulu, HI (Dec. 31, 2010)

Region 13 (Illinois)
Saint Clair Co., IL (Feb. 28, 2011)

Region 14 (Indiana)
Vanderburgh Co., IN (Dec. 30, 2010)

Region 17 (Kentucky)
Owensboro, KY (Jan. 31, 2011)

Region 18 (Louisiana)
Bossier, LA (Jan. 14, 2011)
Jefferson Parish, LA (Dec. 31, 2010)
Lafourche Parish, LA (Dec. 31, 2010)
Opelousas, LA (Dec. 1, 2010)
St. Martin Parish Sheriff, LA (Dec. 31, 2010)
St. Tammany’s Parish, LA (Feb. 1, 2011)

Region 19 (New England)
Connecticut Dep’t of Corrections (Dec. 31, 2010)
Massport, MA (Nov. 30, 2010)
Massachusetts Water Resources Authority (Dec. 31, 2010)
Narragansett, RI (Dec. 31, 2010)
North Providence, RI (Dec. 31, 2010)
Providence, RI (Dec. 31, 2010)
Rhode Island Public Transit Authority (Nov. 30, 2010)

Region 20 (Maryland, District of Columbia, and Northern Virginia)
Wicomico Co., MD (Feb. 14, 2011)

Region 26 (Nebraska)
Washington Co. Sheriff’s Department (Dec. 31, 2010)

Region 29 (New Mexico)
Santa Fe, NM (Jan. 1, 2011)

Region 31 (North Carolina)
Mecklenberg Co., NC (Mar. 31, 2011)

Region 34 (Oklahoma)
Bixby and Jenks, OK (Jan. 15, 2011)

Region 36 (Pennsylvania)
Cumberland Co., PA (Mar. 31, 2011)

Region 39 (Tennessee)
Chattanooga/Hamilton Co., TN (Dec. 31, 2010)
Smyrna, TN (Dec. 31, 2010)

Region 40 (Dallas/Central & Northeast Texas)
McKinney, TX (Nov. 30, 2010)

Region 42 (Virginia)
Albemarle, VA (Jan. 31, 2011)

Region 45 (Wisconsin)
Wisconsin State Capitol Police (Feb. 28, 2011)

Region 49 (Austin/Central Texas)
Brazos Co. and Bryan, TX (Jan. 30, 2011)
Region 51 (Houston/East Texas)
Conroe, TX (Jan. 31, 2011)
Port Neches, TX (Jan. 31, 2011)

Region 54 (Chicago Metropolitan Area)
Elgin, IL (Dec. 20, 2010)
Milwaukee Co., WI (Jan. 27, 2011)
Ozaukee Co, WI (Dec. 31, 2010)

Appendix A-2 – Licensees Requesting Extension Dates Beyond March 31, 2011

Relief Granted: Extension Until March 31, 2011, to Either Complete Rebanding or Submit a Further Request for Waiver Seeking Additional Time.

Region 1 (Alabama)
Huntsville, AL

Region 4 (Arkansas)
State of Arkansas

Region 6 (Northern California)
Oakland, CA
City and County of Sacramento, CA
San Francisco Bay Area Rapid Transit, CA
San Francisco and San Francisco Co., CA
State of California
University of California-Davis

Region 8 (New York)
Greenwich, NY
Essex Co., NY
Norwalk, CT

Region 9 (Florida)
Alachua Co. (Gainesville), FL
Charlotte Co., FL
Collier Co., FL
Coral Springs, FL
Greater Orlando Public Safety Cooperative, FL
Hollywood, FL
Lake Co., FL
Maitland, FL
Manatee Co., FL
Martin Co., FL
Miami-Dade Co., FL
Miami Shores, FL
Miramar, FL
Monroe Co, FL
Orange Co., FL
Osceola Co., FL
Palm Beach Co., FL, Board of County Commissioners
Pensacola, FL
Pinellas Co., FL
Plantation, FL
Seminole Co., FL
State of Florida
Tallahassee, FL
Volusia Co., FL
West Palm Beach, FL, Phase II

Region 10 (Georgia)
Athens-Clarke Co., GA
Cobb Co., GA
Fulton Co., GA
Richmond Co. and Augusta, GA
Savannah; Effingham Co.; Chatham Co.; Southside Fire Protection Service, GA
State of Georgia

Region 16 (Kansas)
Hutchinson-Reno Co. Emergency Communications, KS
Johnson, KS
Overland Park, KS
Sedgwick, KS
Shawnee, KS
State of Kansas

Region 17 (Kentucky)
Paducah, KY

Region 18 (Louisiana)
Baton Rouge, LA
Calcasieu, LA
Gould Volunteer Fire Department, LA
Houma Police Department, LA
Jefferson Parish Sherriff’s Office (SmartZone)
Lafayette Parish Communications District, LA
Pointe Coupee Parish Sheriff, LA
Rapides Parish, LA
St. Charles Parish, LA
St. James Parish, LA
State of Louisiana
West Baton Rouge Parish, LA

Region 19 (New England)
Massachusetts State Police
Middlesex Co., MA
Wethersfield, CT

Region 20 (Maryland, District of Columbia, Northern Virginia)
Alexandria, VA
Anne Arundel Co., MD
Arlington Co., VA
Baltimore, MD
Baltimore Co., MD
Carroll Co., MD
Charles Co., MD
District of Columbia
Fairfax Co., VA
Fauquier Co., VA
Frederick Co., MD
Harford Co., MD
Howard Co, MD
Loudoun Co., VA
Manassas, VA
Maryland Aviation Administration
Maryland State Dep’t of Corrections
Metropolitan Washington Airports Authority
Montgomery Co, MD
Prince William Co., VA
St. Mary’s Co., MD
Upper Maryland Eastern Shore Consortium

Region 23 (Mississippi)
Harrison Co., MS
Rankin Co., MS

Region 24 (Missouri)
Kansas City, MO

Region 26 (Nebraska)
Lincoln, NE

Region 27 (Nevada)
State of Nevada
Region 28 (New Jersey, Pennsylvania and Delaware)
Commonwealth of Pennsylvania

Region 30 (New York/Albany)
Rensselaer Co., NY

Region 31 (North Carolina)
Durham, NC
Fayetteville, NC, Public Works Commission, Communications Division
High Point, NC
Kernersville, NC
Lenoir Co., NC
New Hanover Co., NC
North Carolina State Highway Patrol
Pineville, NC
Salisbury, NC
Thomasville, NC
Wake Co., NC
Winston-Salem, NC

Region 33 (Ohio)
Dayton, OH
Warren Co., OH

Region 34 (Oklahoma)
Edmond, OK
Norman, OK
Oklahoma City, OK
Owasso, OK
State of Oklahoma
Tulsa, OK

Region 36 (Pennsylvania)
Pennsylvania State University

Region 37 (South Carolina)
City and County of Sumter, SC
Florence Co, SC, Phase II
Horry Co, SC
Marion Co, SC
Myrtle Beach, SC
SCANA Communications, SC
Spartanburg, SC
York Co., SC
Region 39 (Tennessee)
Clarksville, TN
Oak Ridge, TN
Shelby Co. and Memphis, TN

Region 40 (Texas – Dallas)
Arlington, TX
Bedford, TX
Collin Co., TX
City of Denton, TX
Fort Worth, TX
Grand Prairie, TX
Mansfield, TX
Mesquite, TX

Region 42 (Virginia)
Chesapeake, VA
Culpepper Co., VA
Hopewell, VA
Norfolk, VA
Newport News, VA Information Technology
Suffolk, VA
Portsmouth, VA
Virginia Beach, VA
York Co., VA

Region 49 (Austin/Central Texas)
Austin, TX
Bell Co., TX
Lee Co., TX
Travis Co., TX
Williamson Co., TX

Region 51 (Houston/East Texas)
Harris Co., TX
Houston Independent School District, TX
Houston Police Dep’t Comm. Mgmt. Div., TX

Region 53 (Texas/San Antonio)
San Antonio, TX
Caldwell Co./Lockheart, TX

Region 63 (Guam)
L.P. Ganacias Enterprises
Appendix A-3 – Licensees That Have Not Filed an Extension Request

Further Action Required: Within 30 Days, Provide the Transition Administrator (TA) with Documentation That the Licensee Has Completed Rebanding or Submit a Supplemental Waiver Request for Additional Time.

Region 1 (Alabama)
Center Point Fire District, AL
Gadsden, AL
Jefferson Co. Commission, AL

Region 4 (Arkansas)
Little Rock, AR

Region 6 (Northern California)
Davis, CA

Region 8 (Metropolitan New York)
Old Bridge Township, NY
City of New York DOITT FCC Licensing Support
Bergen Co., NJ
State of New Jersey Attorney General’s Office
State of New York Tactical Channel

Region 9 (Florida)
Florida Dep’t of Corrections
Boca Raton, FL, Phase II
Broward Co., FL Board of Commissioners
Delray Beach, FL
Desoto Co., FL
Fort Lauderdale, FL
Hardee Co., FL
Hialeah, FL
Lee Co., FL
Polk Co., FL
Sarasota Co., FL

Region 10 (Georgia)
Fayette Co., GA
Gwinnet Co., GA
Metropolitan Atlanta Rapid Transit Authority, GA

Region 13 (Illinois)
Illinois Dep’t of Corrections
Region 14 (Indiana)
Boone Co., IN
Hamilton Co., IN
Terre Haute, IN

Region 16 (Kansas)
Ellis Co., KS
Haysville, KS
Lake Quivira, KS
Lincoln Co., KS
Prairie Village, KS
Russell Co., KS
Sumner Co., KS

Region 18 (Louisiana)
Beauregard Parish Sheriff’s Office, LA
Caddo Parish Communications District No. 1
Kenner, LA
Lake Charles, LA
Orleans Parish School District
Plaquemines Parish, LA
Port of New Orleans
Parish of Ascension, LA
Parish of Iberville – E911 Operations, LA
Parish of Terrebonne, LA
Iberville Parish Sheriff’s Department
St. Bernard Parish Sheriff’s Office, LA
St. John the Baptist Parish, LA
Sulphur, LA

Region 19 (New England)
Barnstable Co., MA
Hartford, CT
Mashantucket Pequot Tribal Nation
Norfolk Co., MA
State of Connecticut
Suffolk Co., MA

Region 20 (Maryland, Washington D.C., Northern Virginia)
Calvert Co., MD
Ocean City, MD
Somerset Co., MD
University of Maryland
Worcester Co., MD
Region 23 (Mississippi)
State of Mississippi Department of Public Safety
Vicksburg (County of Warren), MS

Region 24 (Missouri)
Gladstone, MO
Grandview, MO
Mid America Regional Council
North Kansas City, MO
Raytown, MO

Region 25 (Montana)
Billings, MT

Region 26 (Nebraska)
Sarpy Co., NE
Omaha Public Power District

Region 28 (Delaware, Eastern Pennsylvania, New Jersey)
Bucks Co., PA
Chester Co., PA

Region 31 (North Carolina)
Concord, NC
Hickory, NC
Mooresville, NC
Mint Hill, NC

Region 33 (Ohio)
Cincinnati, OH
Hamilton Co., OH
Clermont Co., OH

Region 34 (Oklahoma)
Creek Co., OK

Region 36 (Pennsylvania)
Westmoreland Co., PA

Region 37 (South Carolina)
Charleston, SC

Region 39 (Tennessee)
Bartlett, TN
Knox Co. Schools, TN
TEMA, TN
Region 40 (Dallas/Central & Northeast Texas)
Anderson Co., TX
East Texas Medical Center
Ellis Co., TX
Irving, TX
Longview, TX
Plano, TX

Region 42 (Virginia)
Chesapeake, VA
Hampton, VA

Region 49 (Austin/Central Texas)
Bastrop Co., TX
Blanco Co., TX
Burnet Co., TX
Hays Co., TX

Region 51 (Houston/East Texas)
Montgomery Co., TX
Orange, TX
State of Texas

Region 54 (Chicago-Metropolitan)
Aurora, IL
Chicago, IL, Phase II (Aviation)
Cook Co., IL
Lake Co., IL
Naperville, IL
Village of Montgomery, IL
Whitehall, MI

Region 64 (Guam)
Choice Phone LLC

Appendix A-4 – Licensees Requesting Extension Dates That Have Since Expired

Further Action Required: Within 30 Days, Provide the TA with Documentation That the Licensee Has Completed Rebanding or Submit a Supplemental Waiver Request for Additional Time.

Region 1 (Alabama)
Madison, AL
Region 6 (Northern California)
Alameda Co., CA

Region 8 (New York – Metropolitan)
Suffolk Co., NY
Fairfield Township, NJ
Orange Co. Sheriff’s Office, NY
Union City, NJ

Region 9 (Florida)
Liberty Communications, Inc.
Palm Beach Gardens, FL

Region 10 (Georgia)
Rockdale Co, GA
Spalding Co., GA

Region 18 (Louisiana)
Bossier Parish, LA
East Baton Rouge S.O., LA

Region 19 (New England)
Boston, MA
Boston Police Dep’t, MA
Massachusetts Dept. of Corrections
Portland, ME

Region 23 (Mississippi)
Mississippi State Dep’t of Corrections
Noxubee Co., MS

Region 26 (Nebraska)
Douglas Co., NE

Region 28 (Delaware, Eastern Pennsylvania, New Jersey)
Lancaster, PA

Region 31 (North Carolina)
Harnett Co., NC

Region 37 (South Carolina)
Beaufort Co., SC

Region 39 (Tennessee)
Johnson City, TN
Region 40 (Dallas/Central & Northeast Texas)
Denton Co., TX
Lewisville/Coppel, TX

Region 45 (Wisconsin)
Waukesha Co., WI

Region 49 (Texas/Austin)
Llano Co., TX

Appendix A-5 – Licensees That Have Yet to Execute a Frequency Reconfiguration Agreement (FRA) With Sprint Nextel (Sprint)

Further Action Required: Within 75 Days, Execute an FRA or Submit to the TA the Required Report and Negotiation Schedule.

Region 1 (Alabama)
Alabama Dept. of Public Safety
Hartselle, AL
Mobile, AL
Mobile Co., AL
Morgan Co., AL

Region 10 (Georgia)
Henry Co., GA

Region 16 (Kansas)
Ellsworth Co., KS

Region 18 (Louisiana)
New Orleans Police Department

Region 19 (New England)
Cambridge, MA

Region 23 (Mississippi)
Hinds Co., MS

Region 28 New Jersey, Eastern Pennsylvania, Delaware
State of Delaware
Montgomery Co., PA
Philadelphia, PA

Region 31 (North Carolina)
Guilford Co. (Greensboro), NC
New Bern, NC

Region 37 (South Carolina)
State of South Carolina (CIO)
South Carolina DOT

Region 39 (Tennessee)
Obion Co., TN

Region 42 (Virginia)
Chesterfield Co., VA
Henrico Co., VA
Richmond, VA
Virginia State Police

Region 54 (Chicago-Metropolitan)
Chicago, IL

Appendix A-6 – Licensees That Have Yet to Submit a Cost Estimate to Sprint

Further Action Required: Within 60 Days, Submit a Cost Estimate to Sprint or Provide to the Public Safety and Homeland Security Bureau the Required Report and Schedule.

Region 18 (Louisiana)
Jefferson Parish Sheriff’s Office (Region 1), LA

Region 23 (Mississippi)
Mississippi State University