In the Matter of
Improving Public Safety Communications in the 800 MHz Band
Supplemental Requests for Waiver of June 26, 2008 Rebanding Deadline

ORDER

Adopted: March 31, 2010
Released: March 31, 2010

By the Chief, Public Safety and Homeland Security Bureau:

I. INTRODUCTION AND BACKGROUND

1. On June 9, 2009, the Public Safety and Homeland Security Bureau (Bureau) released an order (June 2009 Order) addressing requests for further extension beyond July 1, 2009, of the June 26, 2008 deadline to complete 800 MHz rebanding.¹ In that order, we:

   • Granted waivers to public safety licensees seeking extensions to complete rebanding on or before October 1, 2009.

   • Directed public safety licensees seeking extensions beyond October 1, 2009, to either complete rebanding by that date or else submit, by that date, a detailed further request for additional time.

   • Directed each public safety licensee that submitted a waiver request but failed to specify completion or milestone dates as required by the Waiver Guidance Notice ² to provide this information in a supplemental filing submitted within thirty days of release of the June 2009 Order.

   • Dismissed “interim” requests that sought additional time to complete planning or negotiation of a Frequency Reconfiguration Agreement (FRA) but which did not specify completion or milestone dates for rebanding as required by the June 2008 Orders. We directed such licensees to submit a proposed timetable for completion of rebanding within thirty days of release of the June 2009 Order.

¹ See Improving Public Safety Communications in the 800 MHz Band, WT Docket 02-55, Order, 24 FCC Rcd 7761 (PSHSB 2009) (June 2009 Order).

Directed each licensee requesting an extension date that had already passed to provide, within thirty days of release of the June 2009 Order, either (1) confirmation to the Transition Administrator (TA) that the licensee had completed rebanding, or (2) a proposed timetable and milestones for completion of rebanding as required by the \textit{Waiver Guidance Notice}. In this Order, we address supplemental waiver requests that have been filed pursuant to the \textit{June 2009 Order}.  

2. Licensees affected by or located in the Canadian and Mexico border regions that did not receive frequency assignments from the TA prior to June 26, 2008 are not subject to the June 26, 2008 deadline and are not subject to the requirements of this Order. The Bureau has established a separate rebanding timeline for the Canadian border region,\footnote{See Improving Public Safety Communications in the 800 MHz Band, \textit{Second Report and Order}, WT Docket 02-55, 23 FCC Rcd 7605 (PSHSB 2008).} and will establish a rebanding timeline for the Mexican border at a later date. 

\section*{II. DISCUSSION} 

3. The Commission has stated that requests for waiver to extend the June 26, 2008 rebanding deadline are \textit{“subject to a high level of scrutiny.”}\footnote{FCC Announces Supplemental Procedures and Provides Guidance for Completion of 800 MHz Rebanding, WT Docket 02-55, \textit{Public Notice}, 22 FCC Rcd 17227, 17232 (2007).} With some licensees continuing to submit requests for further extensions of time well after the deadline, even greater scrutiny is now appropriate to ensure that \textit{“the amount of additional time requested is no more than is reasonably necessary to complete the rebanding process.”}\footnote{\textit{Id}.} Accordingly, as discussed in greater detail below, we grant further extensions at this time only to licensees that have executed FRAs with Sprint Nextel, and we hold in abeyance requests from licensees that do not yet have executed FRAs. 

\subsection*{A. Licensees With Executed FRAs} 

4. \textit{Licensees Requesting Extensions to Complete Rebanding on or before October 1, 2010.} We first address waiver requests of those non-border licensees that have executed FRAs and propose to complete their rebanding on or before October 1, 2010.\footnote{We list these licensees in Appendix A-1 of this Order.} After reviewing these requests, we find that each of these licensees has made substantial progress toward completing rebanding and has demonstrated a level of diligence and good faith sufficient to warrant the requested extension. We thus grant the waiver dates requested by these licensees. 

5. \textit{Licensees Requesting Extensions or Proposing Milestone Dates to Complete Rebanding after October 1, 2010.} With respect to those non-border licensees that have executed FRAs but have filed requests for extension to complete their rebanding projects after October 1, 2010, we grant partial waivers until October 1, 2010 to either complete rebanding or submit a further request for waiver seeking additional time.\footnote{We list these licensees in Appendix A-2 of this Order.} Any further request for waiver must detail the licensee’s progress to date and propose milestone dates for each major rebanding step, \textit{e.g.}, subscriber unit deployment, system cut-over, and

\begin{flushright}
\footnotesize
\textsuperscript{5} \textit{Id}. \\
\textsuperscript{6} We list these licensees in Appendix A-1 of this Order. \\
\textsuperscript{7} We list these licensees in Appendix A-2 of this Order. 
\end{flushright}
post-cutover modifications,\(^8\) that has not yet been completed. In addition, licensees with timetables that are dependent on rebanding by adjacent or overlapping systems must identify such interdependencies.

6. **Licensees With FRAs That Have Not Requested Extensions or Have Requested Extension Dates That Have Passed.** We next address those licensees that have not yet completed physical reconfiguration of their systems and have either not filed an extension request\(^9\) or have filed a request in which the requested extension date has passed.\(^10\) We direct each such licensee, within 30 days of release of this Order, to provide the TA with documentation showing it has completed reconfiguration or to file a supplemental waiver request with the Bureau requesting additional time for such completion in accordance with the Waiver Guidance Notice.\(^11\)

B. **Licensees Without Executed FRAs**

7. **Licensees That Have Submitted Cost Estimates But Have Yet to Execute a Frequency Reconfiguration Agreement (FRA).** We hold in abeyance the extension requests received from those non-border licensees that have submitted a cost estimate but have not yet executed a FRA with Sprint.\(^12\) We direct each non-border licensee without an FRA to either execute an FRA within 75 days of release of this order or submit to the TA a report (which the TA shall summarize and provide to the Bureau) regarding the status of FRA negotiations, together with a schedule detailing when it anticipates that FRA negotiations will be complete and a brief summary of the issues in dispute. The TA will establish and submit to the Bureau a proposed schedule for completion of FRA negotiations. After executing its FRA, each licensee covered by this section must submit to the Bureau, within 30 days of the execution of the FRA, an updated request for additional time to complete rebanding.

8. **Licensees That Have Yet to Submit a Cost Estimate.** We also hold in abeyance the extension requests received from non-border licensees that have yet to submit a cost estimate to Sprint.\(^13\) We direct each such licensee to either submit a cost estimate to Sprint within 60 days of release of this Order or to provide the Bureau with a report explaining why it cannot provide a cost estimate by this date, together with a schedule detailing when it anticipates submitting a cost estimate. Each licensee must file an additional report every 30 days thereafter until it submits its cost estimate, unless the TA deems such updates to be unnecessary.\(^14\) Upon submission of the cost estimate, the TA will establish and submit to the Bureau a proposed schedule for expedited FRA negotiations between the licensee and Sprint.

\(^8\) As defined in the June 2008 Orders, subscriber unit deployment involves retuning, reflashing, and/or replacing subscriber equipment; the second milestone, system cutover, involves retuning the base stations to the licensee’s new channel assignments and beginning system operations on the new channels; and finally, post-cutover system modifications include disposing of temporary or legacy equipment and, in the proper case, removing pre-rebanding channels from subscriber units.

\(^9\) We list these licensees in Appendix A-3 of this Order.

\(^10\) We list these licensees in Appendix A-4 of this Order.

\(^11\) Licensees are directed to the TA’s website for the template form and instructions for filing the supplemental waiver requests: http://www.800ta.org/content/resources/nonborder.asp#supplementalwaiver.

\(^12\) We list these licensees in Appendix A-5 of this Order.

\(^13\) We list these licensees in Appendix A-6 of this Order.

\(^14\) Licensees who have not completed their cost estimates must submit the initial report and updated reports to the PSHSB800 mailbox, PSHSB800@fcc.gov. The cost to the licensee of preparing and submitting these reports to the Bureau is presumptively not recoverable from Sprint.
9. Eligibility to Apply for Vacated Interleaved Band Channels. We believe that non-border licensees who have not submitted cost estimates or completed FRA negotiations at this late date should focus their resources on completing the FRA process and initiating rebanding of their systems as soon as possible. Accordingly, to provide additional incentive in this regard, we will limit eligibility to apply for vacated Interleaved Band (809-815/854-860 MHz) channels\textsuperscript{15} to (1) public safety licensees that have fully executed FRAs at the time they apply for interleaved channels, and (2) public safety licensees that are either not required to reband or have completed the rebanding process.\textsuperscript{16}

III. CONCLUSION AND ORDERING CLAUSE

10. We note that failure to comply with the requirements of this Order, including, but not limited to, the requirement to file timely extension requests, without good cause shown, may result in further action by the Commission, including consideration of whether the licensee’s continued rebanding costs should be deemed not recoverable from Sprint.

11. Accordingly, pursuant to Section 4(i) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, 47 U.S.C. § 154(i), and Sections 0.191, 0.392, and 1.925 of the Commission’s rules, 47 C.F.R. §§ 0.191, 0.392, 1.925, IT IS ORDERED that the requests for waiver of the June 26, 2008, deadline submitted by the licensees listed in Appendix A of this order are GRANTED, GRANTED IN PART, OR HELD IN ABEYANCE, to the extent discussed herein.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

James Arden Barnett, Jr., Rear Admiral (Ret.)
Chief, Public Safety and Homeland Security Bureau

\textsuperscript{15} The 800 MHz Report and Order provided that Interleaved Band channels vacated by Sprint are to be made exclusively available for new licensing to public safety for a three-year period. Improving Public Safety Communications in the 800 MHz Band, WT Docket No. 02-55, et al., Report and Order, Fifth Report and Order, Fourth Memorandum Opinion and Order, and Order, 19 FCC Rcd 14969, 15052 ¶ 152 (2004) (800 MHz Report and Order). The Bureau has previously released some of these channels and will make additional interleaved channels available in the future as rebanding in each region progresses. See Improving Public Safety Communications in the 800 MHz Band, Relinquishment By Sprint Nextel of Channels in the Interleaved, Expansion, and Guard Bands, WT Docket No. 02-55, Order, 23 FCC Rcd 15966 (2008).

\textsuperscript{16} 800 MHz Report and Order, 19 FCC Rcd at 15046-47 ¶ 146.
Appendix A

Appendix A-1 – Licensees Requesting Extensions to Complete Rebanding on or Before October 1, 2010

Relief Granted: Extension to Complete Rebanding on or Before the Date Requested (indicated in parentheses).

Region 1 (Alabama)
Huntsville, AL (Apr. 30, 2010)
Montgomery Co., AL (July 15, 2010)

Region 6 (Northern California)
Alameda Co., CA (July 31, 2010)
Livermore, CA (Apr. 30, 2010)

Region 8 (New York Metropolitan Area)
Consolidated Edison of New York (Oct. 31, 2009)*
Orange Co. Sheriff’s Office, NY (June 1, 2010)
Suffolk Co., NY (Apr. 26, 2010)
City of New York DOITT (June 30, 2010)

Region 9 (Florida)
DeSoto Co., FL (June 1, 2010)
Hardee Co., FL (June 30, 2010)
Hollywood, FL (July 1, 2010)
Pasco Co., FL (Aug. 25, 2010)
Polk Co., FL (July 15, 2010)
Sarasota Co., FL (Aug. 29, 2010)

Region 10 (Georgia)
Athens Co. and Clarke Co., GA (June 4, 2010)
Cobb Co., GA (June 15, 2010)
Fayette Co., GA (Mar. 31, 2010)
Gwinnett Co., GA (Mar. 31, 2010)
Lowndes Co., GA (Apr. 5, 2010)

Region 11 (Hawaii)
Honolulu, HI (Mar. 31, 2010)

Region 16 (Kansas)
Prairie Village, KS (July 15, 2010)

Region 18 (Louisiana)
Jefferson Parish, LA (May 30, 2010)
Jefferson Parish Sheriff’s Office (SmartZone), LA (July 30, 2010)  
Opelousas, LA (Apr. 30, 2010)  
Caddo Parish Communications District, LA (Sept. 8, 2010)  
Gould Fire Dep’t – Gretna, LA (Sept. 1, 2010)  
Lafayette Parish Communications District, LA (Oct. 1, 2010)  
Lafourche Parish, LA (May 31, 2010)  
St. Charles Parish, LA (Oct. 1, 2010)  
St. James Parish, LA (Oct. 1, 2010)  
St. John the Baptist Parish, LA (Oct. 1, 2010)  
St. Martin Parish Sheriff, LA (Sept. 1, 2010)  
Rapides Parish Communications District, LA (May 31, 2010)  

Region 19 (New England)  
Massachusetts Water Resources Authority (June 20, 2010)  
Hartford, Co. (July 31, 2010)  
Massport (MA) (July 30, 2010)  
Narragansett, RI (July 10, 2010)  
Providence, RI (June 30, 2010)  
Rhode Island Public Transit Authority (June 1, 2010)  
Worcester, MA (Oct. 1, 2010)  

Region 23 (Mississippi)  
Jones Co., MS (Dec. 31, 2010)  

Region 24 (Missouri)  
Grandview, MO (June 1, 2010)  
Mid-America Regional Council (June 1, 2010)  
North Kansas City, MO (June 1, 2010)  
Raytown, MO (June 1, 2010)  

Region 26 (Nebraska)  
Lincoln Dep’t of Emergency Communications, NE (Mar. 31, 2010)  
Omaha Public Power District, NE (June 30, 2010)  
Sarpy Co, NE (May 18, 2010)  
Douglas Co., NE (July 1, 2010)  

Region 27 (Nevada)  
State of Nevada (May 1, 2010)  
Washoe Co., NV (May 30, 2010)  

Region 28 (Delaware, Eastern Pennsylvania, New Jersey)  
Reading, PA (Mar. 31, 2010)  

Region 31 (North Carolina)  
High Point, NC (May 15, 2010)  
Kernersville, NC (June 30, 2010)
Mint Hill, NC (June 30, 2010)
Mooresville, NC (June 30, 2010)
Pineville, NC (June 30, 2010)
Thomasville, NC (June 30, 2010)

Region 33 (Ohio)

Region 34 (Oklahoma)
Bixby, OK (Mar. 30, 2010)
Jenks, OK (Mar. 30, 2010)

Region 35 (Oregon)

Region 36 (Western Pennsylvania)
Cumberland Co., PA (July 31, 2010)
Pennsylvania State Univ. (Mar. 31, 2010)
Westmoreland Co., PA (June 1, 2010)

Region 37 (South Carolina)
York Co., SC (June 23, 2010)

Region 39 (Tennessee)
Bartlett City, TN (Aug. 16, 2010)
TEMA (TN) (Oct. 1, 2010)

Region 40 (Dallas/Central & Northeast Texas)
Plano, TX (July 30, 2010)
Dallas, TX (June 1, 2010)
McKinney, TX (May 21, 2010)

Region 47 (Puerto Rico)
Puerto Rico Police Communications Division (July 30, 2010)

Region 49 (Austin/Central Texas)
Bastrop Co., TX (June 15, 2010)
College Station, TX (May 25, 2010)

Region 51 (Houston/East Texas)
Houston Independent School District, TX (Oct. 1, 2010)
Port Neches, TX (Aug. 10, 2010)
Harris Co., TX (Aug. 31, 2010)
Region 53 (Southern Texas)
Corpus Christi, TX (May 14, 2010)
San Antonio/Bexar Co., TX (June 28, 2010)

Region 54 (Chicago-Metropolitan)
Chicago, IL – Phase II-Aviation (Oct. 1, 2010)
Cook Co., IL (Sept. 21, 2010)
Milwaukee Co., IL (July 28, 2010)
Will County, IL (May 30, 2010)

*The licensee represents that it has completed rebanding as of Oct. 31, 2009.

Appendix A-2 – Licensees Requesting Extension Dates Beyond October 1, 2010

Relief Granted: Extension Until October 1, 2010, to Either Complete Rebanding or Submit a Further Request for Waiver Seeking Additional Time.

Region 1 (Alabama)
Gadsden, AL
Center Point Fire District, AL
Jefferson Co. Commission, AL

Region 4 (Arkansas)
State of Arkansas
Little Rock, AR

Region 6 (Northern California)
State of California
San Francisco Bay Area Rapid Transit, CA
San Francisco and San Francisco Co., CA
Davis, CA
University of California-Davis

Region 9 (Florida)
Alachua Co., FL
Boca Raton, FL
Boynton Beach, FL
Charlotte Co., FL
Coral Springs, FL
Delray Beach, FL
State of Florida
Fort Lauderdale, FL
Greater Orlando Public Safety Co-op, FL
Hialeah, FL
Lee County BOCC, FL
Maitland, FL
Manatee Co., FL
Martin, FL
Miami-Dade Co., FL
Miramar, FL
Orange Co., FL
Osceola Co., FL
Pinellas Co., FL
Plantation, FL
Reedy Creek Improvement District, FL
Tallahassee, FL
Volusia Co., FL
Winter Park, FL

Region 10 (Georgia)
Augusta and Richmond Co., GA
Fulton Co., GA
Henry Co., GA
Savannah, GA
State of Georgia

Region 13 (Illinois)
Illinois Dep’t of Correction

Region 14 (Indiana)
Hamilton Co., IN
State of Indiana

Region 16 (Kansas)
Hutchison-Reno Co., KS
Johnson Co., Sheriff, KS
State of Kansas
Sedgwick, KS
Shawnee, KS

Region 18 (Louisiana)
Baton Rouge, LA
Beauregard Parish Sheriff, LA
Calcasieu Parish Communications District, LA
Kenner, LA
Lake Charles, LA
Plaquemines Parish, LA
State of Louisiana
St. Bernard Parish, LA
St. Tammany Parish, LA
Sulphur, LA
Region 19 (New England)
Barnstable Co., MA
Wethersfield, CT
Norwalk, CT

Region 20 (Maryland, District of Columbia, Northern Virginia)
Alexandria, VA
Anne Arundel Co., MD
Arlington Co., VA
Baltimore, MD
Calvert Co., MD
Carroll Co., MD
District of Columbia
Fairfax Co., VA
Frederick Co., MD
Harford Co., MD
Howard Co., MD
Loudoun Co., VA
Manassas, VA
Maryland Aviation Administration
Metro Washington Airports Authority
Montgomery Co., MD
Ocean City, MD
Prince William Co., MD
Somerset Co., MD
State of MD – MDOT MAA
St. Mary’s Co., MD
Univ. of Maryland
Warrenton-Fauquier Joint Communications Center, VA
Worcester Co., MD

Region 23 (Mississippi)
Harrison Co., MS

Region 24 (Missouri)
Kansas City Police Dep’t, MO

Region 28 (Delaware, Eastern Pennsylvania, New Jersey)
Commonwealth of Pennsylvania

Region 30 (Upstate New York)
Rensselaer Co., NY
Region 31 (North Carolina)
Concord, NC
Durham, NC
Hickory, NC
New Hanover Co., NC
North Carolina State Highway Patrol
Wake County, NC
Winston-Salem, NC

Region 33 (Ohio)
Cincinnati, OH
Hamilton Co., OH

Region 34 (Oklahoma)
Edmond, OK
Norman, OK
State of Oklahoma
Tulsa, OK

Region 37 (South Carolina)
Beaufort Co., SC
Charleston, SC
Charleston Co., SC
SCANA Communications, SC
State of South Carolina – CIO

Region 39 (Tennessee)
Memphis Police Dep’t, TN

Region 40 (Dallas/Central & Northeast Texas)
Arlington, TX
Bedford, TX
Collin Co., TX
Denton, TX
East Texas Medical Center
Fort Worth, TX
Garland, TX
Grand Prairie, TX
Longview, TX
Mansfield, TX
Mesquite, TX

Region 42 (Virginia)
Albemarle Co., VA
Culpepper Co., VA
Hampton, VA
Norfolk, VA
Portsmouth, VA
Virginia Beach, VA
Virginia State Police
York Co., VA

Region 49 (Austin/Central Texas)
Austin, TX
Bell Co., TX
Bryan, TX
Houston Police Dep’t Communications Mgmt. Division, TX
Lee Co., TX
Travis Co., TX
Williamson Co., TX

Region 51 (Houston/East Texas)
Conroe, TX

Region 62 (Guam)
L.P. Ganacias Enterprises/Radio Com Saipan, MP (Guam)

Appendix A-3 – Licensees That Have Not Filed an Extension Request

Further Action Required: Within 30 Days, Provide the Transition Administrator (TA) with Documentation That the Licensee Has Completed Rebanding or Submit a Supplemental Waiver Request for Additional Time.

Region 6 (Northern California)
San Jose, CA

Region 8 (Metropolitan New York)
Clinton, NY
Essex Co., NJ
Fairfield Township, NJ
Greenwich, CT
Milford, CT
State of New Jersey Attorney General’s Office

Region 9 (Florida)
Apopka, FL
Brevard Co., FL
Charlotte Co. BCC, FL
Citrus Co., FL
Collier Co., FL
Lake Co., FL
Florida Dep’t of Corrections
Juno Beach, FL
Miami Beach, FL
Miami Shores Village, FL
Monroe Co., FL
Palm Beach Gardens, FL
Palm Beach County Solid Waste Authority, FL
Palm Beach County BOC, FL
Palm Beach County School Police Dep’t, FL
Liberty Communications (FL)

Region 10 (Georgia)
Consolidated Gov’t of Columbus, GA
Metropolitan Atlanta Rapid Transit Authority, GA
Rockdale Co., GA

Region 13 (Illinois)
Peoria Co., IL

Region 14 (Indiana)
Boone Co., IN

Region 17 (Kentucky)
Owensboro, KY

Region 18 (Louisiana)
Ascension Parish, LA
Avoyelles Parish Communications, LA
Bossier, LA
Bossier Parish, LA
Calcasieu Parish, LA
Houma Police Dep’t, LA
Iberville Parish E911 Operations, LA
Iberville Parish Sheriff’s Dep’t, LA
Port of New Orleans, LA
Terrebonne Parish, LA
West Baton Rouge Parish, LA

Region 19 (New England)
Mashantucket Pequot Tribal Nation, MA
Massachusetts Dep’t of Corrections
New Britain, CT
Norfolk Co., MA
North Providence, RI
Suffolk Co., MA
Region 20 (Maryland, District of Columbia, Northern Virginia)
Michael C. Trahos

Region 23 (Mississippi)
Hinds Co., MS

Region 24 (Missouri)
St. Louis, MO

Region 26 (Nebraska)
Washington Co. Sheriff’s Dep’t, NE

Region 28 (Delaware, Eastern Pennsylvania, New Jersey)
Atlantic Co., NJ
Bucks Co., PA
Delaware Co., PA
Ocean City, NJ

Region 31 (North Carolina)
Fayetteville Public Works Commission Communications Division, NC
Harnett Co., NC
Jacksonville, NC
Johnston Co., NC
Lenoir Co., NC
Onslow Co., NC
Raleigh-Durham Airport Authority
Rocky Mount, NC
Salisbury, NC

Region 33 (Ohio)
Oxford, OH

Region 34 (Oklahoma)
Creek Co., OK
Owasso, OK

Region 37 (South Carolina)
Florence Co., SC
Horry Co., SC
Marion Co., SC
Myrtle Beach, SC
Sumter and Sumter Co., SC

Region 39 (Tennessee)
Goodlettsville, TN
Hamilton Co. and Chattanooga, TN
Appendix A-4 – Licensees Requesting Extension Dates That Have Since Expired

**Further Action Required:** Within 30 Days, Provide the TA with Documentation That the Licensee Has Completed Rebanding or Submit a Supplemental Waiver Request for Additional Time.

**Region 1 (Alabama)**
Calhoun Co., AL
Madison, AL
Washington Co., AL
Region 6 (Northern California)
Bay Area American Red Cross, CA
Richmond, CA
Roseville, CA
Santa Clara, CA

Region 8 (Metropolitan New York)
Nassau Co., NY
State of New Jersey
Union City, NJ
Trenton, NJ
Waterbury, CT
Farmington, NY
Old Bridge Township, NJ

Region 9 (Florida)
Broward Co., FL
Coral Gables, FL
Jacksonville, FL
Ocala, FL
Pensacola, FL

Region 10 (Georgia)
LaGrange, GA

Region 13 (Illinois)
Rock Island, IL
Saint Clair Co., IL

Region 14 (Indiana)
Vanderburgh Co., IN

Region 15 (Iowa)
Fort Dodge Correctional Facility, IA
Grundy Co., IA
Marshall Co., IA
Oelwein Co., IA
Polk Co., IA
Scott Co., IA
Sioux City, IA
West Des Moines, IA

Region 17 (Kentucky)
Paducah, KY
Region 18 (Louisiana)
Pointe Coupee, LA

Region 19 (New England)
Boston, MA
Boston Police Dep’t, MA
Connecticut Dep’t of Corrections
Portland, ME

Region 20 (Maryland, District of Columbia, Northern Virginia)
Prince George’s County, MD

Region 23 (Mississippi)
Nouxbee Co., MS
Lee Co., MS
Rankin Co., MS
Mississippi Dep’t of Corrections

Region 24 (Missouri)
Joplin, MO

Region 25 (Montana)
Columbia Falls Aluminum Co., MT

Region 28 (Delaware, Eastern Pennsylvania, New Jersey)
Lancaster, PA

Region 29 (New Mexico)
Albuquerque, NM
Santa Fe, NM

Region 31 (North Carolina)
Pitt Co., NC

Region 34 (Oklahoma)
Oklahoma City, OK

Region 35 (Oregon)
Washington Co. Consolidated Communications Agency, OR
Portland, OR

Region 39 (Tennessee)
Clarksville, TN
Johnson City, TN
Oak Ridge, TN
Sullivan Co., TN
Region 40 (Dallas/Central & Northeast Texas)
Bowie Co., TX

Region 42 (Virginia)
Spotsylvania Co., VA

Region 51 (Houston/East Texas)
Orange, TX

Region 53 (Southern Texas)
Live Oak, TX
VIA Metropolitan Transit, TX
Irving, TX
Llano Co., TX
Waco, TX

Region 54 (Chicago-Metropolitan)
Ozaukee Co., WI
Waukesha Co., WI

Appendix A-5 – Licensees That Have Yet to Execute a Frequency Reconfiguration Agreement (FRA) With Sprint Nextel (Sprint)

Further Action Required: Within 75 Days, Execute an FRA or Submit to the TA the Required Report and Negotiation Schedule.

Region 1 (Alabama)
Hartselle, AL
Mobile, AL
Morgan Co., AL

Region 9 (Florida)
Seminole Co., FL

Region 16 (Kansas)
Overland Park, KS

Region 20 (Maryland, District of Columbia, Northern Virginia)
Charles Co., MD

Region 23 (Mississippi)
Jackson, MS
Appendix A-6 – Licensees That Have Yet to Submit a Cost Estimate to Sprint

Further Action Required: Within 60 Days, Submit a Cost Estimate to Sprint or Provide to the Public Safety and Homeland Security Bureau the Required Report and Schedule.
Russell, KS
Sumner Co., KS

Region 18 (Louisiana)
Jefferson Parish Sheriff’s Office (Region 1), LA
New Orleans Police Dep’t, LA

Region 19 (New England)
Cambridge, MA

Region 20 (Maryland, District of Columbia, Northern Virginia)
Baltimore Co., MD

Region 23 (Mississippi)
Mississippi State Univ.

Region 25 (Montana)
Billings, MT

Region 28 (Delaware, Eastern Pennsylvania, New Jersey)
State of Delaware
Montgomery Co., PA
City of Philadelphia, PA

Region 31 (North Carolina)
Guilford Co., NC

Region 37 (South Carolina)
Anderson Co., SC
Columbia, SC
South Carolina DOT

Region 54 (Chicago-Metropolitan)
Chicago, IL

Region 62 (Guam)
Choice Phone (Guam)